

rpa

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 1869 OF 2008**

.....  
Office Notes, Office Memoranda .....  
of Coram, appearances, Court's .....  
Orders or directions and .....  
Registrar's Order ..... Court's or Judge's Order .....  
.....  
Mr.Amit Borkar for the Petitioners.  
None for the Respondents.

**CORAM : J.N.PATEL AND**

**S.S.SHINDE, JJ.**

**DATE : 24TH MARCH, 2008.**

**P.C. :-**

. Heard.

2. The Petitioners have challenged the order dated 23rd January, 2008 passed by the Respondent No.1 imposing fine of Rs.25,000/- (Rs.Twenty Five Thousand only) on the Petitioner and the Tahasildar with the direction to deduct from the salary payable in the month of March-2008. The Petitioners before us are the officers working under the Tahasildar. It is the case of the Petitioners that the fault does not lie upon them, but on the superior i.e. the Tahasildar,

who has in terms stated and accepted the fact that there was a mistake on the part of the office of The Tahasildar. The Respondent No.1 has examined the matter in detail before fixing the liability. Even on review he found that the decision taken by him was not wrong. This finding of fact is born out from the record. The liability has been fixed on the Petitioner as well as their superior officer of imposing fine. The officers are trying to pass on blames to each other, but as rightly found by the Respondent No.1, they are equally liable for non supply of information to the citizen within the stipulated period and for their lapses, the impugned order imposing a fine of Rs.25,000/-, is justified. Therefore, we do not find merits in the petition. The Petition is dismissed.

(J.N.PATEL,J.)

(S.S.SHINDE,J.)